

# Development of the Adult Admitted Patient Survey 2015

#### **Background**

Every 12 months, each annual survey in the NSW Patient Survey Program is reviewed to ensure it is performing appropriately and collecting the information that is intended. In April 2015, the 2014 Adult Admitted Patient Survey (AAPS) questionnaire was reviewed. As substantial refinements were made to the questionnaire in the previous year, this review focused on consistency across reporting periods and only essential changes were made. This document summarises the changes to the AAPS questionnaire from the 2014 to 2015 survey years.

#### **Methods**

#### Analysis of historic AAPS data

An analysis of the first two quarters of 2014 AAPS survey data was undertaken to support the questionnaire review. This analysis determined the following for each question:

- Response patterns for each question, including rates of item non-response (not answering a question when
  they should have), invalid responses (selecting more than one answer to a single response question or
  answering a question they should have skipped past) and non-specific responses, such as 'don't know', 'can't
  remember' or 'not applicable to me'
- Ceiling and floor effects of response categories (responses where almost all patients are very positive or very negative, with little variation between hospitals) using the scored mean, standard deviation and skewness of responses
- Correlations between questions (using the most positive response category) to understand if any questions appear to be duplicating the same aspect of care (using the Pearson method).

#### Approval of changes

Before finalisation of survey changes, recommendations are made and assessed at a number of levels, as follows:

- 1. Following the aforementioned review, the survey team at BHI compile recommendations to present to the BHI Chief Executive (CE)
- 2. Following the CE's review, a revised draft questionnaire and summary of changes is provided to the survey program's Strategic Advisory Committee (SAC)
- 3. When final changes are agreed upon with the SAC and signed off by the BHI CE, the questionnaire is provided to the external contractor for layout in design, printing and mailing.

### **Summary of changes for the Adult Admitted Patient Survey**

The following lists the changes. Rationale and evidence for changes can be found in the following section.

Q number (2014)	Question	Change
2	From the time a doctor said you would need to go to hospital, how long did you have to wait to be admitted?	Deleted
3	Do you think the amount of time you waited was?	Deleted
4	Before your arrival, how much information about your hospital stay was given to you?	Moved to 'Operations and Procedures' section and changed question to reference the operation or procedure
8	Were the staff you saw on your arrival to hospital polite and courteous?	Replaced 'saw' with 'met'
12, 13	Did you see <u>nurses/doctors</u> wash their hands, use hand gel to clean their hands, or put on clean gloves before touching you?	Removed 'or put on clean gloves'
26	Were the doctors polite and courteous?	Deleted
34	Were the nurses polite and courteous?	Deleted
37-39	Other health professionals questions (who received care from, if polite and courteous, confidence and trust in them)	Deleted these questions
52	While in hospital, did you receive, or see, any information about your rights as a patient, including how to comment or complain?	Reword question to 'While in hospital, did you receive or see any information about how to comment or complain about your care?'
53	Not including the reason you came to hospital, during your hospital stay, or soon afterwards, did you experience any of the following complications or problems?	Changed 'complications as a result of surgery' to 'complications as a result of an operation or surgical procedure'.  Changed 'complications as a result of tests or procedures' to 'complications as a result of tests, x-rays or scans'.  Added an instruction to select all the response categories that apply
55	In your opinion, were members of the hospital staff open with you about this complication or problem?	Added 'Not applicable, as it was after I left' to response set
60	Did a health professional discuss the purpose of these tests, X-rays or scans with you?	Underlined 'purpose'



68	Before your operation or surgical procedure, did a health professional explain what would be done in a way you could understand?	Added 'began' after 'procedure'
76	Were you given or prescribed medication to take at home?	Added 'any <u>new</u> ' in front of 'medication'
77	Did a health professional in the hospital explain the purpose of this medication in a way you could understand?	
78	Did a health professional in the hospital tell you about medication side effects to watch for?	Underlined 'side effects'
84	What were the main reasons for the delay?	Changed response category 'I had to wait for an ambulance/transport' to 'I had to wait for an ambulance or hospital transport'
88	Did you want to make a complaint about something that happened in hospital?	Moved the last response category ('No, I did not want to make a complaint') to the first position
92, 93	In the week before your hospital stay, how difficult was it for you to carry out your normal daily activities (e.g. physical activity, going to work, caring for children)?  About one month after your discharge from hospital, how difficult was it for you to carry out your normal daily activities?	Changed last response category from 'I was not able to at all' to 'Too difficult to do'
N/A	Were you ever treated unfairly for any of the reasons below?	Added
N/A	About You (The Patient) section	Reordered section
N/A	Changes to cover letter.	Addition of new logo and new subheadings. Reordering paragraphs and editing content.

### **Details of changes**

_					-
n	ш	00		n	٠,

Question 2
Current question
From the time a doctor said you would need to go to hospital, how long did you have to wait to be admitted?
<ul> <li>Less than 1 month</li> <li>1 to 3 months</li> <li>4 to 6 months</li> <li>7 to 12 months</li> <li>More than 1 year</li> <li>Don't know/can't remember</li> </ul>
Action
Deleted question.
Rationale
This question was extremely highly correlated with Q66 (>0.9). At this level, the question was duplicating responses to such a degree as to be virtually the same question. Additionally, the valid missing response rate was 4.5%, possibly due to the additional filtering required for Q2-Q4, along with the inclusion of those who answered that their visit was for 'something else' in Q1. Removal of this question reduced the amount of routing required on the first page, while offering much the same data in Q66.
Question 3
Current question
Do you think the amount of time you waited was?
<ul> <li>□ About right</li> <li>□ Slightly too long</li> <li>□ Much too long</li> <li>□ Don't know/can't remember</li> </ul>
Action
Action  Deleted question.

#### **Question 4**

Current question
Before your arrival, how much information about your hospital stay was given to you?
<ul> <li>□ Not enough</li> <li>□ The right amount</li> <li>□ Too much</li> <li>□ Don't know/can't remember</li> </ul>
Action
Moved to 'Operations and Procedures' section and reworded to 'Before your arrival, how much information about your operation or surgical procedure was given to you by the hospital?' with the same response categories.
Rationale
This question was the last in the set of three (Q2 and Q3 discussed above) from the section 'Before Arriving at Hospital'. As the previous two questions were deleted, this was the remaining question in this section. Due to this, the survey team reviewed evidence on the question quality and the best location for it. Results of this analysis showed that the question did not demonstrate any high correlation to other questions, had a moderately-high level of (4.5%) valid missing responses and no ceiling or floor effect. However, there was evidence to suggest that some respondents answering the question were actually emergency patients, especially those who answered 'something else' to Q1. Additionally, patients who had planned surgery responded differently to those who did not. Finally, cognitive testing for the Small and Rural Hospitals Survey provided evidence that respondents feel they should be answering about information given about their operation when answering this question, suggesting it would fit better in the 'Operations and Procedures' section. It was therefore moved to this section and reworded appropriately.
Question 8
Current questions
Were the staff you saw on your arrival to hospital polite and courteous?
<ul><li>☐ Yes, always</li><li>☐ Yes, sometimes</li><li>☐ No</li></ul>
Action
Replaced 'saw' with 'met'.
Rationale
The change was made to clarify that the question is asking about staff the patient interacted with rather than those

The change was made to clarify that the question is asking about staff the patient interacted with rather than those they just saw on arrival.

#### Questions 12 and 13

_				100	
Cur	rer	nt (	ш	25†1	on

12. Did	12. Did you see <u>nurses</u> wash their hands, use hand gel to 13. Did you see <u>doctors</u> wash their hands, use hand gel to			
clean their hands, or put on clean gloves before		to clean their hands, or put on clean gloves before		
touching you?		touching	g you?	
	Yes, always		Yes, always	
			Yes, sometimes	
	·		No, I did not see this	
			Can't remember	
Action				
Deleted	'or put on clean gloves'.			
Rational	e			
=	t of the question was removed, as per removal from		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Commis	sion pointed out that doctors and nurses are still su	pposed to	o clean their hands, even if using gloves.	
Question	n 26			
	questions			
Current				
Current Were the	questions e doctors polite and courteous?			
Current Were the	questions			
Current Were th	questions e doctors polite and courteous? Yes, always			
Current Were the	questions e doctors polite and courteous? Yes, always Yes, sometimes			
Current Were the	questions e doctors polite and courteous? Yes, always Yes, sometimes No			
Current Were the	questions e doctors polite and courteous? Yes, always Yes, sometimes No uestion 34			
Current  Were the	questions e doctors polite and courteous? Yes, always Yes, sometimes No uestion 34 question			
Current Were the	questions e doctors polite and courteous? Yes, always Yes, sometimes No uestion 34 question e nurses polite and courteous?			
Current Were the	questions e doctors polite and courteous? Yes, always Yes, sometimes No uestion 34 question e nurses polite and courteous? Yes, always			
Current Were the	questions e doctors polite and courteous? Yes, always Yes, sometimes No uestion 34 question e nurses polite and courteous? Yes, always Yes, sometimes			

#### Rationale

Q26 and Q27 (whether doctors were kind and caring) were highly correlated (0.727), as were Q34 and Q35 (whether nurses were kind and caring) (0.80). Additionally, cognitive testing for the Small and Rural Hospitals Survey showed these questions were asking about the same component of care as 'kind and caring'. In the case of both the doctor and nurse questions, 'kind and caring' is more highly correlated to overall ratings of doctors or nurses (0.640 and 0.704 respectively). This suggests 'kind and caring' is a better predictor of patient satisfaction with staff, at the time patients are interacting with doctors and nurses on the ward. While we don't have the equivalent data for the admission phase, survey development work with patients has suggested that politeness is more important at this earlier point of care. BHI consulted with the survey program's Implementation Advisory Committee on whether these questions were being used as KPIs and, after receiving a negative response, they were deleted.

#### Other health professionals (section) - Questions 37, 38 and 39

#### **Current questions**

37. Whi	ch, if any, of the following other health professionals did you receive care or treatment from during this
hospita	I stay? Please x <u>all</u> the boxes that apply to you
	Dietician
	Occupational therapist
	Pharmacist
	Physiotherapist
	Psychologist
	Radiographer (X-ray, ultrasound, MRI)
	Social worker
	Speech pathologist
	Other (Please write in)
	None of these
38. Wei	re these other health professionals polite and courteous?
	Yes, always
	Yes, sometimes
	No
39. Did	you have confidence and trust in these other health professionals?
	Yes, always
	Yes, sometimes
	No
Action	
Remova	al of these questions.

#### Rationale

While almost half of respondents saw other health professionals, populations of the specific health professionals were so small that discrimination between them was very difficult. Furthermore, being a multi-response question, some respondents selected multiple other health professionals, making the following questions difficult to analyse in response to a particular type of professional. Hence, the data was uninformative and not useful to LHDs and facilities for the formation of policy or review of staff.

Furthermore, all three questions had valid missing response rates between 5%-8%, potentially indicating a lack of understanding of the section, uncertainty about which professionals were seen, or a high level of cognitive difficulty with the question. The first of these questions on which, if any, other healthcare professionals patients saw was useful for priming respondents to answer questions in the next section correctly (i.e. about 'all health professionals' not just doctors and nurses). However, a blurb was added before the next section instructing patients to think about all health professionals who cared for them.

#### **Question 52**

#### **Current question**

□ No

☐ Don't know/can't remember

While in hospital, did you receive, or see, any information about your rights as a patient, including how to comm	ent or
complain?	
∏ Ves	

Action

Removed the reference to 'your rights as a patient' and changed to:

While in hospital, did you receive or see any information about how to comment or complain about your care?

#### Rationale

The question had a very high proportion of 'don't know' responses (31%). Cognitive testing indicated that the term 'rights' is not one that some are familiar with in regards to their patient experience, causing some uncertainty in responding. Also, while respondents may have seen signs posted in the hospitals, many did not read them or failed to register the connection between those posters and this question. Often, posters promoting patient rights are lost among other posters and pamphlets on the ward.

The modified version of the question was cognitively tested and no issues were identified in the understanding of this new version.

#### **Question 53**

#### **Current question**

Not including the reason you came to hospital, during your hospital stay, or soon afterwards, did you experience any of the following complications or problems?

An infection
Uncontrolled bleeding
A negative reaction to medication
Complications as a result of surgery
Complications as a result of tests or procedures
A blood clot
A pressure wound or bed sore
A fall
Any other complication or problem
None of these

#### Action

Changed 'complications as a result of surgery' to 'complications as a result of an operation or surgical procedure'. Changed 'complications as a result of tests or procedures' to 'complications as a result of tests, x-rays or scans'.

#### Rationale

To aid analysis of results in terms by aligning categories between these response options and the questions asking if the respondent had 'tests, x-rays or scans', or an 'operation or surgical procedure'.

### **Question 55 Current question** In your opinion, were members of the hospital staff open with you about this complication or problem? ☐ Yes, completely ☐ Yes, to some extent □ No Action Added 'Not applicable, as it was after I left' option. Rationale For those people who experience a complication after leaving hospital, this question was not appropriate in its original format, which may have contributed to the high rate of missing responses for this question (7%). **Question 60 Current question** Did a health professional discuss the purpose of these tests, X-rays or scans with you? ☐ Yes, always ☐ Yes, sometimes □ No Action Underlined 'purpose'. Rationale This question had a 5% rate of valid missing responses. Review of the survey results has provided evidence that underlining key words in questions does have an impact on how people respond; specifically, decreasing the proportion of missing responses. In this case, it may help to distinguish the focus of the question, as it appears in a series of four questions on the subject of tests. **Question 68 Current question** Before your operation or surgical procedure, did a health professional explain what would be done in a way you could understand? ☐ Yes, completely ☐ Yes, to some extent □ No ☐ I did not want or need an explanation

#### Action

Add 'began' after 'procedure'.

#### Rationale

Given the move of Q4 (information before arrival) to before this question, there was a need to distinguish timeframe, so this question did not seem to be also referring to the time before hospital.

### **Question 76 Current question** Were you given or prescribed medication to take at home? ☐ Yes □ No Action Added 'any new' in front of medication. Rationale In 2014, two questions about whether health professionals discussed the purpose and side-effects of the medication with the patient were added to the questionnaire, in line with the series of questions asked in the ED Patient Survey. However, the ED survey asks about new medications and these subsequent questions are more relevant to patients with new medication. Questions 77 and 78 **Current questions** 77. Did a health professional in the hospital explain the 78. Did a health professional in the hospital tell you purpose of this medication in a way you could about medication side effects to watch for? understand? ☐ Yes, completely ☐ Yes, completely ☐ Yes, to some extent ☐ Yes, to some extent □ No □ No Action Underlined 'purpose' and 'side effects'.

### Rationale

These questions had a 3.8% and 4.7% rate of valid missing responses, respectively. Review of the survey results has provided evidence that underlining key words in questions does have an impact on how people respond, specifically, decreasing the proportion of missing responses. In this case, it may help to distinguish the focus of each question, as they appear in a series of four questions on the subject of medication.

#### **Question 84**

_				
( iiiri	ran:	t n	uest	ınn
Cui	CII	ιч	lucsi	IUII

Current	question
What w	vere the main reasons for the delay? Please X <u>all</u> the boxes that apply to you.
	I had to wait for medicines I had to wait to see the doctor I had to wait for an ambulance/transport I had to wait for the letter for my GP I was not well enough Some other reason Don't know/can't remember
Action	
Replace	e 'I had to wait for an ambulance/transport' with 'I had to wait for an ambulance or hospital transport'
Rationa	lle
	e the response option more relevant to the hospital performance and prevent people from responding in to waiting to be picked up by a relative, etc.
Questic	on 88
Current	question
Did you	want to make a complaint about something that happened in hospital?
_ _ _	Yes, and I did complain Yes, but I did <u>not</u> complain No, I did not want to make a complaint
Action	
Change	d order or response categories so that the 'No' option is first.
Rationa	ıle
This au	estion had a relatively high proportion of valid missing responses (3.8%) which may have resulted from th

difficult routing instructions due to the ordering of the response categories. Reordering was intended to make the

instructions clearer for respondents.

#### Questions 92 and 93

Current questions
-------------------

92. In the <u>week before</u> your hospital stay, how difficult was it for you to carry out your normal daily activities (e.g. physical activity, going to work, caring for children)?	93. About <u>one month</u> after your discharge from hospital, how difficult was it for you to carry out your normal daily activities?
<ul> <li>□ Not at all difficult</li> <li>□ Only a little difficult</li> <li>□ Somewhat difficult</li> <li>□ Very difficult</li> <li>□ I was not able to at all</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Not at all difficult</li> <li>□ Only a little difficult</li> <li>□ Somewhat difficult</li> <li>□ Very difficult</li> <li>□ I was not able to at all</li> </ul>
Action	
Changed last category to 'Too difficult to do'.	
Rationale	
The wording for the last response category did not fit with a fit of the Small and Rur well.	
Unfair treatment question addition	
Action	
Add the following (modified NHS UK) question on unfair tre immediately after Q43 (i.e. whether patient's cultural or re	
	ligious beliefs were respected).

#### Rationale

There is evidence from the UK that sexual orientation that is non-heterosexual is associated with a poorer experience of care. This question is a less direct (perhaps less confronting) method of addressing sexual orientation and its impact on care, with the added advantage of capturing other potential sources of discrimination. Comparisons can be made in future with Victoria, who recently added this to their patient experience surveys. This question was cognitively tested during development of the Small and Rural Hospitals Survey. This question has been added to other surveys across the survey program.

#### **About You (The Patient) section**

#### Action

Reordered section.

#### Rationale

There were a relatively high proportion of invalid responses to the question about whether patients (who spoke a main language other than English at home) needed an interpreter (31.4%). To improve routing on this question the three questions on foreign language were placed in the same column.

#### **Changes to cover letter**

#### Action

Three main changes to the cover letter were made.

- Addition of an extra line directing respondents to view the results of the surveys on Healthcare Observer
- Addition of new logo and use of the acronym BHI instead of 'the Bureau'
- Reordering of some paragraphs.

#### Rationale

Changes made in line with changes for BHI and survey branding and to make the reference to the completion of the survey online more obvious (to encourage uptake of this mode). As far as the reference to *Healthcare Observer*, there is evidence that directing respondents to results of surveys they participate in increases uptake of future surveys. Now that BHI has *Healthcare Observer* live, we are able to direct respondents to the results. Additionally, healthcare consumers are one of BHI's stakeholder groups and to date we have not actively promoted our survey results to them.